Strategies for Academic Writing

Professor Kasshun Berhanu

British Academy Writing Workshop, Mekelle University, Ethiopia

Oct 29th 2019









ACADEMIC WRITING

I. Why discuss this? (benefits)

- Shedding light on means and ways of speeding up the writing process in the effort to publish researchbased findings
- Identifying mechanisms that could assist readers to grasp the gist of key contents/messages;

II. Strategies for ensuring clear and quality writing

- Synthesising information is crucial in conveying clear and solid messages to readers/end-users
- Chunking/breaking apart collected and analysed information in a manner that enables authors/readers to organise miscellaneous data by looking at a whole array of facts and perceptions in the report
- Setting up a coherent outline that meets expectations of the target reader/audience
- Developing a clear hierarchical structure of the report (sections, sub-sections, etc)
- Identifying target readers/audience by considering their expectations and interests (NOT fine-tuning)

III. Approaches in Dialoguing with Readers

- Provide clear <u>indication of the purpose</u> of the study/work (contribution to existing body of knowledge and/or policy; training /teaching, etc)
- Identify who the priority readers are (1-3 in order of importance)
- Envision what the priority readers know/ do not know/need to know
- Foresee the questions (main and derivative) that priority readers could pose and get prepared to respond to these
- Decide/determine the key messages to be conveyed and ensure that these are repeatedly reflected in the text

IV. Dissecting sections/sub-sections and paragraphs

• New sections should begin with a topical statement that summarises the key message allowing readers to capture the key points in the argumentation

• Sentences should be short (20 words or less whenever possible)

Paragraphs should be limited to a maximum of 10 lines

V. Writing Style: Making Sentences More Dynamic

• Use verbs by placing them close to the beginning of sentences as much as possible (WHO DOES WHAT)

Use Active Voice

Tips on Publishing Articles

- Don't rush towards submitting manuscript in anticipation that improvements can be made later after receiving feedback from reviewers (involves high risk of outright rejection)
- Select appropriate publication outlet/target journal that is relevant to the major theme of the chapter (how?)
- Properly understand aims, scope, and author's guideline of the target journal
- Make a good first impression reflected in the title (catchy and attractive) and abstract of chapter e.g. *Ant-Politics Machine*)
- Deploy a professional editor for technical and substantive copy-editing
- Address reviewers' comments carefully but retain your position firmly on justifiable/well-argued ground in case of disagreement
- Acknowledge support received in various forms

Authorship

- Solo, co- and multiple
- Leveled and pro-rated apportionment of weight based on authors' contributions/inputs
- Undeserved entitlement as manifestation of unethical behaviour...

- Caution against predatory/bogus journals
- Distinguish between printing and publishing

Reputable Publishing Houses and Journals (Parliament and Politics)

- Parliamentary Affairs
- Journal of Parliamentary and Political Law
- African Affairs
- Third World Quarterly
- Development Policy Review
- Review of African Political Economy
- Development and Change
- Pluto Press
- Palgrave Macmillan
- University Presses (several) including in Africa and Ethiopia

Turning Manuscripts into Monographs

- A monograph is a different body of work to manuscript
- It is developed by one author or co-authors on a single subject presenting primary research product/original work in peer reviewed publications
- In a monograph, the manuscript is appropriately chaptered, footnoted and indexed and thereby emerge as a published work that formally deals with the central research question in a focused manner
- Hence it is evidence-based report that results from rigorous research
- Producing monographs involves reducing longer manuscripts into clearly discernible versions that are different from the former
- Involves internal review followed by blind reviews engaging at least two professionals in the field